

2022 Update

Purgatory Creek

Purgatory Creek has three headwaters: Lotus Lake in Chanhassen, Silver Lake in Shorewood, and wetlands in Minnetonka. After these forks join, the creek flows through the Purgatory Recreation Area and Staring Lake before eventually reaching the Minnesota River.

Keeping Purgatory Creek healthy requires several tools and strategies. Conducting projects to stabilize streambanks and restore stretches of stream is one strategy. Cleaning and slowing rainwater runoff before it reaches the creek is another. Before either of these can be done, we need to understand how the creek is doing and where it needs the most help.

District staff have monitored Purgatory Creek since the 1970s. The District developed a tool to assess the creek: the Creek Restoration Action Strategy (CRAS). The CRAS uses water quality data, as well as information on erosion and habitat, to rank which creek stretches (sections) are doing the best and which are doing the poorest. CRAS scores for

Purgatory Creek

nnesota Riven

each stretch of stream are located on

Watershed Boundaries

The map below shows the watershed

boundaries for the three creeks in

the District. The creeks flow south-southeast toward the

Creek

atershed

Minnesota River.

the next page.



The three major types of data used in creek monitoring



Water quality

District staff take samples at five sites during the summer. They gather information about nutrient

levels (phosphorus), sediment, pH, and dissolved oxygen. This data lets us know how clean the water is and if it's healthy for plants, animals, and people.



Erosion


Every three years, staff walk sections of the creek. They note sites with erosion, its severity,

and whether any structures like houses or bridges are at risk. Erosion is also a problem because any soil that erodes into the creek is a pollutant.



Habitat

Creeks are important habitat for insects, plants, fish, birds, and other animals. When staff check for erosion, they also assess the habitat. Reaches receive a score based on the quality of habitat they provide and whether it needs to be restored.





2022 Stream Monitoring Results

Stream Water Quality Monitoring


In 2022, District staff collected and analyzed water samples every two weeks — April through September — to determine the average water quality of Bluff, Riley, and Purgatory creeks.

The District monitors streams for six impairment categories, which are defined by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). When a measured value does not meet the standard set by the MPCA, the stream is designated as "impaired" in that category.

In 2022, drought significantly impacted the streams. Of 18 regular sampling sites, 11 went dry or became stagnant at some point. From 2021 to 2022, stream water quality remained relatively the same across the district. Excluding the dissolved oxygen impairment, the number of water quality standard impairments increased slightly from 2021 to 2022. Similar to previous years, total phosphorus was the water quality standard causing the most impairments in 2022 with 12 of 18 sites not meeting the standard.

Number of impairments in each stream over the last two years.

Stream name	2020	2021	2022
Bluff Creek	10	9	11
Riley Creek	6	11	11
Purgatory Creek	11	9	9



Monitoring sites are labeled with a letter and number. The letter represents the name of the creek (e.g. "P" for Purgatory). Numbering begins at the most downstream site.


CRAS Scores for Stream Restoration Planning

The District developed the Creek Restoration Action Strategy (CRAS) to prioritize creek reaches, sub-reaches, or sites, in need of stabilization and/or restoration. The District identified eight categories of importance for project prioritization:

- Infrastructure risk
- Erosion and channel stability
- Public education
- · Ecological benefits
- Water quality
- Proiect cost
- Partnerships
- · Watershed benefits

These categories were scored using methods developed for each category based on a combination of published studies and reports, erosion inventories, field visits, and scoring sheets from specific methodologies. Final tallies of scores for each category, using a two-tiered ranking system, were used to prioritize sites for restoration/remediation. More information on CRAS can be found on the District website rpbcwd.org.

Learn more in the Water Resources Report rpbcwd.org/annualreport



As the creeks approach the Minnesota River to the south, the land grows steeper and more vulnerable to erosion, which is why you see more red and black near the bottom of the map. The District is working with its partners to conduct restoration projects in these lower stretches of the creeks to protect water quality here and downstream.




Grants for Streambank Restoration

The watershed district offers up to **75% cost share** assistance for restoring your streambank! Learn more: rpbcwd.org/grants



Contact us





info@rpbcwd.org 952-607-6512





www.rpbcwd.org